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## Section S1. Synthesis of Metal-Salen COF $_{\text {EdA }}$ and PEDOT@Metal-Salen COF EdA

### 1.1 Materials

5-Bromo-2-methoxybenzaldehy was purchased from Shanghai Yien Chemical Technology Co., Ltd. 1,3,5-Tris(4,4,5,5-teyramethyl-1,3.2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzene was obtained from Shanghai Bide Medical Technology Co., Ltd. Ethanediamine, dichloromethane, ethyl acetate, normal hexane, petroleum ether, N.N-dimethylformamide, ethanol, methanol and acetone were purchased from Damao chemical reagent factory (Tianjin, China). Mesitylene, 2,5-dibromo-3.4-ethylenedioxythiophene $\quad \mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OAC})_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \quad \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAC})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAC})_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{OAC})_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OAC})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{OAC})_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were obtained from Aladdin Industrial Corporation (Shanghai, China). All chemicals were used without further purification.

### 1.2 Instrumental characterization

The PXRD data were collected on a SmartLab9KW diffractometer (Rigaku, Cu Ka ). The solid UV spectra were recorded using a UV-vis Spectrometer Lambda 750S (Perkin Elmer, Inc., USA) in the range of $200-800 \mathrm{~nm}$ at room temperature. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded using a Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS10 spectrometer. Solid ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR experiments were characterized using a Bruker 400 MHz . A scanning electron microscope (SEM, JEOL) equipped with an energydispersive spectrometer recorded the morphology of the samples. TEM and HRTEM images were recorded on a transmission electron microscope (JEOL, JEM-2100). Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms were obtained on a Quantachrome Autosorb iQ apparatus at 77 K . The specific surface areas were calculated using the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method. The samples were degassed at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h before measurements were taken. The XPS data were collected using a Thermo Scientific K-Alpha spectrometer.

Electrochemical measurements were performed using three-electrodes on an electrochemical workstation (Princeton, U.S.). The three-electrode setup comprised a
working, counter and reference electrode, which were a glass carbon electrode ( 4 mm in diameter) coated with catalyst, a graphite rod, and $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ (sat. KCl ). The electrocatalytic performance of the electrocatalysts were tested in an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\left(0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right)$.

In LSV measured reaction current cannot reflect the intrinsic behavior of electrocatalyst due to ohmic resistance effect. So, resistance test was made for iR-compensation of all initial data for further analysis. All the potentials were converted to the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) using the following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{RHE}}=E_{\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}}+0.197 \mathrm{~V}+0.0591 * \mathrm{pH}-i R \mathrm{~s} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scan rate of the linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) was $5 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was measured at a frequency from 100 kHz to 100 mHz and an AC voltage of 5 mV . The double layer capacitance ( $C_{\mathrm{dl}}$ ) was obtained by cyclic voltammetry (CV) under the potential windows of $0.00-0.20 \mathrm{~V}$ vs. RHE with scan rates of $20,40,60,80$ and 100 mV s . The differences in current density variation ( $\Delta J=J_{\mathrm{a}}-J_{\mathrm{c}}$, where $J_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $J_{\mathrm{c}}$ are the anodic and cathodic current, respectively) at an overpotential of 0.10 V plotted against the scan rate and fitted to a linear regression enabled estimation of the $C_{\mathrm{dl}}$ for the electrocatalysts.

The catalyst ink solutions were prepared by adding 4 mg of each catalyst and $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $5 \mathrm{wt} \%$ Nafion to a 1 mL water/ethanol (V/V=3:1) mixture solution. The mixed suspensions were ultrasonicated for 1 h . Then, $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each catalyst ink was uniformly dispersed on the polished glass carbon electrode and dried at room temperature. The catalyst loading on the glass carbon electrode was about 0.159 mg $\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$.

### 1.3 Synthesis of 1,3,5-tris(4-methoxy-5-formylphenyl)benzene



Figure S1. Synthesis of 1,3,5-tris(4-methoxy-5-formylphenyl)benzene.

1,3,5-Tris(4-methoxy-5-formylphenyl)benzene was synthesized according to the procedure described in the literature. ${ }^{[1]}$ 5-Bromo-2-methoxybenzaldehy ( $2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 10$ mmol ), 1,3,5-tris(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzene ( $1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 3.33$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3.3 \mathrm{~g}, 24 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3/1 v/v, 80 mL ) were degassed for 10 min . The suspension was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was concentrated and then extracted with dichloromethane. The organic phase was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and then concentrated under reduced pressure to remove the solvent. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate ( $3: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to obtain 1,3,5-tris(4-methoxy-5-formylphenyl)benzene. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.93$ (dd, $J=2.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.17$ (d, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 10.57 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}$ ).

### 1.4 Synthesis of 1,3,5-tris(4-hydroxy-5-formylphenyl)benzene



Figure S2. Synthesis of 1,3,5-tris(4-hydroxy-5-formylphenyl)benzene.

1,3,5-Tris(4-hydroxy-5-formylphenyl)benzene was synthesized according to the procedure described in the literature. ${ }^{[2]} \mathrm{BBr}_{3}\left(1 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 3.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ was added at $-78 \quad{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen to a suspension of 1,3,5-tris(4-methoxy-5-formylphenyl)benzene ( $0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 6 mL ). After 10 min , the external temperature was raised to $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the dark brown suspension was stirred for 1 h . The resulting mixture was slowly poured into chilled water ( 10 mL ) and stirred continuously until two liquid layers were formed. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 * 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by column chromatography (CC) (silica gel, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}=100 / 1$, by vol.) delivered the title compound as a pale white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta 7.15$ (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 10.35$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}), 10.93$ (s, 3H, OH).

### 1.5 Synthesis of $\mathbf{Z n}$-Salen COF EDA

1,3,5-tris(4'-hydroxy-5'-formylphenyl)benzene (THB) ( $0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 13.2 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OAC})_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(14.8 \mathrm{mg})$ was weighted into a Pyrex tube (volume of ca. 10 mL ). The mixture was dissolved in 1.5 mL of mesitylene/EtOH ( $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) and sonicated for 5 mins. Then ethanediamine $(0.045 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added to the mixture. The mixed solution was ultrasonicated for another 2 min . After the aqueous acetic acid ( 6 M , 0.15 mL ) was added, the solution was sonicated for 5 mins to ensure uniform dispersion. The Pyrex tube was degassed by means of three freeze-pump-thaw cycles and flame-sealed. The tube was placed in an oven at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days. When the reaction time was up, the ampoule was cooled to room temperature and opened. The product was collected centrifugally and cleaned with DMF, ethanol and acetone. The powder was dried in an oven at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuum overnight.

### 1.6 Synthesis of Metal-Salen COF Eda

The Zn -Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\text {Eda }}$ and $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{nH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were weighted into a glass vial, and dry ethyl alcohol was added. The mixture was continuously stirred at room temperature for 48 hours, with the solution being refreshed three times. The solid was collected by centrifugation and washed with ethyl alcohol and acetone several times. The powder was dried in an oven at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuum overnight.

### 1.7 Synthesis of PEDOT@Metal-Salen COF EDA

PEDOT@Metal-Salen COF $_{\text {EDA }}$ was synthesized according to the procedure described in the literature. ${ }^{[3]}$ 2,5-dibromo-3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene (DBrEDOT, 20 mg ) was dissolved using acetone ( 15 mL ) in a glass vial. The Metal-Salen COF $_{\text {EDA }}$ powder ( 200 mg ) was added to the mixture containing DBrEDOT. The mixture was continuously stirred at room temperature for 1 h . The solid was collected by centrifugation and washed with hexane to remove the DBrEDOT on the outer surface of the Metal-Salen COF $_{\text {EDA }}$. The powder was dried in an oven at room temperature under vacuum overnight. The glass vial containing the powder was then sealed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days, and then at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 day. The powder was then washed with acetone and dried in an oven at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuum overnight.

Calculation mass ratio of Zn -Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\text {EDA }}$ to PEDOT based on XPS analysis.

1) Results from structure:
a. Chemical formula for unit cell of Zn -Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\mathrm{EDA}}$ :
$\mathrm{C}_{60} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Zn}_{3}$ (Molecular Weight: 1139.25)
b. Chemical formula for repeating unit of PEDOT:
$\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (Molecular Weight: 140.16)
2) Results from XPS elemental analysis:

$$
\mathrm{C}(61.61 \mathrm{wt} \%), \mathrm{H}(3.65 \mathrm{wt} \%), \mathrm{O}(9.74 \mathrm{wt} \%), \mathrm{N}(6.71 \mathrm{wt} \%), \mathrm{S}(2.08 \mathrm{wt} \%), \mathrm{Zn}
$$

3) Atomic mass $\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ from periodic table of elements:

$$
\text { C: } 12.01 ; \mathrm{H}: 1.01 ; \mathrm{N}: 14.01 ; \mathrm{S}: 32.06 ; \mathrm{O}: 16.00 ; \mathrm{Zn}: 65.39
$$

4) Calculate the molar ratio of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}$

$$
(6.71 \mathrm{wt} \% / 14.01):(2.08 \mathrm{wt} \% / 32.06)=7.39: 1
$$

5) Calculate the molar ratio of Unit cell of Zn -Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\text {EDA }}\left(\mathrm{C}_{60} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Zn}_{3}\right)$ : Repeating unit of PEDOT $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)$
(7.39/6):1 = 1.23:1
6) Calculate the mass ratio of Zn -Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\mathrm{EDA}}$ : PEDOT
(1139.25×1.23): $140.16 \approx 10: 1$

## Section S2. Supplementary Figures



Figure S3. SEM images of Zn -Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\text {EDA }}$.


Figure S4. SEM images and EDS element mapping images of Metal-Salen COF EDA .


Figure S5. XPS spectra of Metal-Salen COF EDA .


Figure S6. N 1s, O 1s and Zn 2 p high resolution XPS spectra of Metal-Salen COF ${ }_{\text {EDA }}$.


Figure S7. Stability measurement of Co-Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\text {EDA }}$ in a solution of aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}$ ). (a) Cyclic voltammetry stability for Co-Salen COF EDA. $^{\text {. (b) }}$ Chronopotentiometric stability test for Co-Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\text {EDA }}$ at $-10 \mathrm{~mA} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{-2}$.


Figure S8. TEM image of Co-Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\text {EDA }}$ after the stability test.


Figure S9. High-resolution (a) Co 2p, (b) N 1s, (c) O 1s spectra of Co-Salen COF EDA. . (d, g, j) Co 2p, (e, h, k) N 1s, (f, i, l) O 1s spectra of Co-Salen COF $_{\text {EDA }}$ after HER stability testing (three parallel tests).


Figure S10. (a) FT-IR spectra Zn -Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\mathrm{EDA}}$, PEDOT@Metal-Salen COF $\mathrm{EDA}^{\text {. (b) }}$ PXRD patterns of PEDOT@M-Salen COF EDA. .


Figure S11. SEM images and EDS element mapping images of: (a) PEDOT@Zn-Salen COF EDA ; (b) PEDOT@Cu-Salen COF $_{\text {EDA }}$; (c) PEDOT@Ni-Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\text {EDA }} ; ~(\mathrm{~d})$ PEDOT@Co-Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\text {EDA }}$; (e) PEDOT@Fe-Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\text {EDA }}$; (f) PEDOT@Mn-Salen COF $_{\text {EDA }}$.


Figure S12. Equivalent circuit diagram.


Figure S13. Cyclic voltammetry curves of PEDOT@Metal-Salen COF $_{\text {EDA }}$ in the region of $0.2-0.30 \mathrm{~V}$ vs. RHE.


Figure S14. SEM and EDS images of PEDOT@Mn-Salen COF $_{\text {EDA }}$ after the stability test.


Figure S15. High-resolution (a) Mn 2p, (b) N 1 s , (c) O 1 s spectra of Mn-Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\text {EDA. }}$ (d, g, j) Mn 2p, (e, h, k) N 1s, (f, i, l) O 1s spectra of PEDOT@Mn-Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\text {EDA }}$ after HER stability testing (three parallel tests).


Figure S16. Mott-Schottky (M-S) plots for: (a) PEDOT@Zn-Salen COF $_{\text {EDA }}$; (b) PEDOT@Cu-Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\text {EDA }}$; (c) PEDOT@Ni-Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\text {EDA }}$; (d) PEDOT@ Co-Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\mathrm{EDA}}$; (e) PEDOT@Fe-Salen COF $_{\text {EDA }}$; (f) PEDOT@Mn-Salen COF $_{\text {EDA }}$. Measured in $0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ with $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}(+0.197 \mathrm{~V}$ vs NHE) as the reference electrode.

## Section 3. Structure simulation and theoretical calculations

Molecular modeling of these COFs was generated with the Materials Studio (ver. 2019) suite of programs. The lattice models (e.g., cell parameters, atomic positions, and total energies) were fully optimized using MS Forcite molecular dynamics module method. Finally, Pawley refinement was carried out using Reflex, a software package for crystal determination from PXRD pattern. Unit cell dimension was set to the theoretical parameters. The Pawley refinement was performed to optimize the lattice parameters iteratively until the $R_{\mathrm{wp}}$ value converges and the overlay of the observed with refined profiles shows good agreement.

The density of states (DOS) and charge density difference were calculated by means of Material Studio, using the Dmol 3 module. The Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof functional of GGA was employed in cell relaxation and geometry optimization. DNP basis set was used in Dmol 3. The orbital cutoff quality is to be set as "Fine" in this calculation. The charge density difference was calculated as regards COF coordinated with metal ion ( $\mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Fe}$ and Mn ). In order to simplify the calculation difficulty and shorten the calculation time, the monolayer models were used for all calculations.

The free energy of the adsorbed state $\left(\Delta G_{\mathrm{H}^{*}}\right)$ was calculated using the Gaussian 09W program. During geometry and frequency optimization, all atoms were allowed to move freely. Based on the Density Functional Theory (DFT), the quantum cluster calculations were carried out using the B3LYP/6-31G (d, p) basis set. The SMD solvation model was used to consider the solvent (water) effect.

The adsorption energy was calculated according to the following equation:

$$
\Delta E_{\text {ad }}=E_{\text {Metals-Salen } C O F_{E D A} / H_{a d s}}-E_{\text {Metals-Salen } C O F_{E D A}}-\frac{1}{2} E_{H_{2}} \quad \text { Equation (2) }
$$

Where: $E_{\text {Metal-Salen Cof eda/Hads }}$ is the total energy of Metal-Salen COF $_{\text {EDA }}$ with absorption of H; $E_{\text {Metal-Salen Cof eda }}$ is the energy of the Metal-Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\text {EDA }}$ surface; $E_{\mathrm{H} 2}$ is the energy of hydrogen in the gas phase.

Gibbs free energy was calculated by considering zero-point energy (ZPE) and entropy corrections for the hydrogen evolution reaction as per the following equation: ${ }^{[4]}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta G_{\mathrm{H}}=\Delta E_{\mathrm{ad}}+\Delta E_{\mathrm{ZPE}}-T \Delta S \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\Delta E_{\text {ad }}$ is obtained from Equation (2). In the adsorbed state, hydrogen shows negligible entropy change due to vibrational force, so the Gibbs free energy is calculated by considering the following corrections: ${ }^{[4]}$

$$
\Delta G_{\mathrm{H}}=\Delta E_{\mathrm{ad}}+0.24 \mathrm{eV}
$$



Figure S17. Proposed models for Metal-Salen COF $_{\text {EDA }}$ for calculating DOS and charge density difference ( H atom: white, C atom: cyan, N atom: read, O atom: yellow, Zn atom: grey, Cu atom: green, Ni atom: pink, Co atom: orange, Fe atom: light blue, Mn atom: wine red).


Figure S18. Models for Metal-Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\text {EDE }}$ after adsorbing the H atom ( H atom: white, C atom: cyan, N atom: read, O atom: yellow, Zn atom: grey, Cu atom: green, Ni atom: pink, Co atom: orange, Fe atom: light blue, Mn atom: wine red).
(a)

(c)




(b)


Figure S19 (a) Optimized geometric structure of the $2 \times 2 \times 1$ supercell of Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\text {EDA. }}$. (b) Three-dimensional view of Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\text {EDA. }}$. (c) Geometric structure of simplified model catalysts ( H atom: white, C atom: cyan, N atom: read, O atom: yellow, Zn atom: grey, Cu atom: green, Ni atom: pink, Co atom: orange, Fe atom: light blue, Mn atom: wine red).

The unite cell of hexagonal crystal system is indicated by the box (black). The metal-free model structure of cluster calculation is indicated by the oval box (green). Each independent model is provided to clear where the cut was made and what the capping group is.







Figure S20. Geometric structure after cluster calculations (H atom: white, C atom: cyan, N atom: read, O atom: yellow, Zn atom: grey, Cu atom: green, Ni atom: pink, Co atom: orange, Fe atom: light blue, Mn atom: wine red).







Figure S21. Adsorbed H geometric structure after cluster calculations (H atom: white,
C atom: cyan, N atom: read, O atom: yellow, Zn atom: grey, Cu atom: green, Ni atom: pink, Co atom: orange, Fe atom: light blue, Mn atom: wine red).


Figure S22. Calculated charge density difference for the $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Salen} \mathrm{COF}_{\mathrm{EDA}}$, Cu -Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\text {EDA }}$, Ni-Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\text {EDA }}$, Fe-Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\text {EDA }}$ and Mn-Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\text {EDA }}$.



Figure S23. Calculated PDOS of: (a) Co atom in Co-Salen COF $_{\text {EDA }}$ (the black dashed line denotes the position of the Fermi level); (b) PEDOT@Mn-Salen COF $_{\text {EDA }}$.


Figure S24. Contact angle of Metal-Salen $\mathrm{COF}_{\text {EDA }}$ and PEDOT@Mn-Salen COF CDA .

Table S1. Fractional atomic coordinates for the unit cell of Salen COF $_{\text {EDA }}$.

| Atom | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1 | 0.36893 | 0.6849 | 0.50009 |
| C2 | 0.33548 | 0.70419 | 0.50009 |
| C3 | 0.38507 | 0.61255 | 0.50009 |
| C4 | 0.36573 | 0.56038 | 0.50009 |
| C5 | 0.39942 | 0.54134 | 0.50009 |
| C6 | 0.45373 | 0.57519 | 0.50009 |
| C7 | 0.47316 | 0.62718 | 0.50009 |
| C8 | 0.43918 | 0.64575 | 0.50009 |
| O9 | 0.48824 | 0.55834 | 0.50009 |
| C10 | 0.39837 | 0.38035 | 0.50009 |
| N11 | 0.45157 | 0.40217 | 0.50009 |
| C12 | 0.3759 | 0.4866 | 0.50009 |
| C13 | 0.57816 | 0.015 | 0.50009 |
| N14 | 0.55357 | 0.04038 | 0.50009 |
| C15 | 0.28162 | 0.67013 | 0.50009 |
| C16 | 0.2607 | 0.61755 | 0.50009 |
| C17 | 0.35618 | 0.75939 | 0.50009 |
| C18 | 0.41009 | 0.79474 | 0.50009 |
| C19 | 0.42975 | 0.84695 | 0.50009 |
| C20 | 0.39466 | 0.8642 | 0.50009 |
| C21 | 0.341 | 0.82918 | 0.50009 |
| C22 | 0.3219 | 0.77723 | 0.50009 |
| O23 | 0.41197 | 0.91501 | 0.50009 |
| C24 | 0.48674 | 0.88178 | 0.50009 |
| C25 | 0.29484 | 0.59895 | 0.50009 |
| C26 | 0.34913 | 0.63235 | 0.50009 |
| C27 | 0.2034 | 0.58257 | 0.50009 |
| C28 | 0.17049 | 0.60262 | 0.50009 |
| C29 | 0.11634 | 0.57035 | 0.50009 |
| C30 | 0.09423 | 0.51653 | 0.50009 |
| C31 | 0.12675 | 0.49629 | 0.50009 |
| C32 | 0.18098 | 0.52899 | 0.50009 |
| O33 | 0.04131 | 0.48354 | 0.50009 |
| C34 | 0.0856 | 0.59488 | 0.50009 |
| C35 | 0.95749 | 0.57176 | 0.50009 |
| N36 | 0.92533 | 0.51833 | 0.50009 |
| C37 | 0.6772 | 0.37057 | 0.50009 |
| C38 | 0.69633 | 0.33815 | 0.50009 |
| C39 | 0.60395 | 0.38477 | 0.50009 |
| C40 | 0.55016 | 0.36593 | 0.50009 |


| C41 | 0.53117 | 0.39863 | 0.50009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C42 | 0.56686 | 0.45146 | 0.50009 |
| C43 | 0.62042 | 0.47043 | 0.50009 |
| C44 | 0.63885 | 0.43741 | 0.50009 |
| O45 | 0.55021 | 0.48511 | 0.50009 |
| C46 | 0.38162 | 0.41471 | 0.50009 |
| N47 | 0.40497 | 0.46536 | 0.50009 |
| C48 | 0.47422 | 0.37604 | 0.50009 |
| C49 | 0.00818 | 0.59139 | 0.50009 |
| N50 | 0.03431 | 0.56613 | 0.50009 |
| C51 | 0.66098 | 0.2845 | 0.50009 |
| C52 | 0.60733 | 0.26287 | 0.50009 |
| C53 | 0.75265 | 0.36004 | 0.50009 |
| C54 | 0.78822 | 0.41452 | 0.50009 |
| C55 | 0.84128 | 0.43554 | 0.50009 |
| C56 | 0.85921 | 0.40121 | 0.50009 |
| C57 | 0.82401 | 0.34694 | 0.50009 |
| C58 | 0.77118 | 0.32652 | 0.50009 |
| O59 | 0.91082 | 0.41982 | 0.50009 |
| C60 | 0.87597 | 0.49308 | 0.50009 |
| C61 | 0.58899 | 0.29601 | 0.50009 |
| C62 | 0.62361 | 0.34994 | 0.50009 |
| C63 | 0.5711 | 0.20613 | 0.50009 |
| C64 | 0.59058 | 0.17416 | 0.50009 |
| C65 | 0.55742 | 0.12065 | 0.50009 |
| C66 | 0.50321 | 0.09822 | 0.50009 |
| C67 | 0.48348 | 0.12986 | 0.50009 |
| C68 | 0.51712 | 0.18346 | 0.50009 |
| O69 | 0.46917 | 0.04584 | 0.50009 |
| C70 | 0.5817 | 0.09106 | 0.50009 |
| C71 | 0.56256 | 0.96349 | 0.50009 |
| N72 | 0.50941 | 0.93083 | 0.50009 |
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