

FACULTY : Education

<u>DEPARTMENT</u> : Science and Technology Education

CAMPUS : APK

MODULE : RESEARCH PROJECT: SCIENCE EDUCATION

(HRRSEOY)

SEMESTER : Second

EXAM : November 2020

ASSESSOR(S) : PROF U RAMNARAIN

DR S RAMAILA

DR L MAVURU

DR T DHURUMRAJ

MODERATOR : PROF AV MUDAU

DURATION: ONLINE SUBMISSION MARKS: 100

NUMBER OF PAGES: 7 PAGES

INSTRUCTIONS:

- 1. Read the following instructions carefully before compiling your research report.
- 2. Include your name, student number and degree name on a cover page of your submission.
- 3. The report must be typed using 12 font Times New Roman or Arial.
- 4. The report should be formatted to have 2 cm page margins and 1.5 line spacing
- 5. You will do a Turnitin final submission on BlackBoard.

FINAL RESEARCH REPORT

Following the submission and assessment of online assignments throughout the year, you are now required to use feedback received for each of the assignments to prepare a final research report for examination. The final research report, which comprises twenty-five to thirty pages, should contain the following elements:

- 1) A cover page
- 2) A table of contents
- 3) Introduction
- 4) Problem statement
- 5) Rationale
- 6) Research aims and objectives
- 7) Research questions
- 8) Literature review
- 9) Research design and methodology
 - a. Research method
 - b. Sample
 - c. Data collection
 - d. Instruments
 - e. Data analysis
 - f. Ethics
- 10)Results
- 11) Discussion and conclusion
- 12)References
- 13) Appendices

[100]

FINAL RESEARCH REPORT ASSESSMENT RUBRIC

Name of student:	Student number:
Marrie di Staucrit.	Stauciit iiuiiibci.

ame of student	Stadent number:			
1. Abstract A concise abstract of the proposed research The background issue/problem of the study The purpose/aim of the study The name of the design or a short description A brief description of the data collection instruments The sample and/or population The major results/findings the value/contribution of the study	7-8 The abstract is 250 words or less and presents a concise picture of the proposed research. The major elements of an abstract are included.	Needs clarification / or some development 3-6 The abstract provides a summary of the proposed research. Most of the major elements of an abstract are included.	Needs substantial clarification or substantial development 0-2 The abstract is not a summary of 250 words or less and presents a concise picture of the proposed research. Most of the major elements of an abstract are not included	Studen mark
2. Introduction, problem statement, rationale and research question The background issue/problem that motivates your study The rationale and background of the problem Purpose and focus of your study Research questions have been included Objectives are stated Subtopics (way forward) provided	The problem statement clearly identifies the problem, is relevant, specific and focused, and ties up with the title. The research questions is relevant to the project and are well articulated. The major terms are clearly defined. The purpose/aim is aligned with the research question and title and is clear. The objectives are stated and clear. Subtopics (way forward) are in proper order.	Needs clarification / or some development 6-10 The problem statement incompletely identifies the purpose of the project and research questions; the proposal's topic is not clearly related to the project. The research questions need additional attention. Major terms and/or assumptions need clarification. Objectives are not clearly stated. Subtopics (way forward) need ordering.	Needs substantial clarification or substantial development 0-5 Problem statement is incomplete and fails to identify a relevant educational issue that is being addressed, research questions have not been included or are poorly articulated. Terms need substantial clarification. Objectives are not stated or poorly articulated. Subtopics (way forward) are not included.	

3. Review of research literature	Clear and well developed	Needs clarification /	Needs substantial clarification or	
 The review provides a good cross 		or some development	substantial development	
section of studies that are relevant	11-15	6-10	0-5	
to the area of your investigation.	Research literature review provides	Research literature review provides	Research literature review inadequately	
Literature review summary includes	excellent overview of the educational	partial or incomplete overview of the	identifies the educational issue that is	
the statements that reiterate the major findings from the review of	issue that is being addressed and	educational issue that is being addressed.	being addressed and does not provide	
literature.	provides a convincing support for the	The relevance of the reviewed literature	support for the purpose of the study.	
Sources are recent and sufficient.	purpose of the proposed study. Most	to the proposed study is not clear. Many	None or outdated and insufficient	
The study builds on seminal work.	sources are not older than 5 years and	sources are not recent or sufficient.	sources are utilised. Connections with	
,	at least 10 sources are utilised. Logical	Connections are made with literature, but	literature are not logical and	
	and consistent connections are made	are not always consistent or logic. The	ambiguous. The study does not build on	
	with literature. The study builds on	study utilises theories of seminal works or	theories of seminal works and/or does	
	theories of seminal works and/or have a	a conceptual framework, but these are	not have a conceptual framework. Poor	
	conceptual framework. Good 'big	inappropriate to the study. The	development of	
	picture' holistic view – clear and logical	development of	subtopics/ideas/arguments.	
	development of subtopics/ideas/logic	subtopics/ideas/arguments are not		
	arguments.	always clear or logical.		

4. Research design and methodology	Clear and well developed	Needs clarification /	Needs substantial clarification or	
Philosophic framework	,	or some development	substantial development	
Type and rationale for research	11-15	6-10	0-5	
design selection Research approach, strategy and methods Sampling and participants' characteristics Data collection instruments used Procedures by which data will be collected Procedures by which data will be analysed and interpreted Quality measures	The epistemology, ontology and axiology are outlined and the philosophical framework is clearly discussed. The research design is well developed, and the rationale for the chosen method is clearly identified. The research approach, the research strategy and research methods are clearly explained. Sampling is done satisfactorily and motivated by literature review (research context, population, sample, method). The procedures for data collection are clearly explained (What?) (Who?) (When?) (Where?). Procedures of data analyses are clearly explained and are appropriate for the study. For quantitative research reliability, validity and generalization are addressed. For qualitative research trustworthiness (credibility, transferability, dependability, conformability) are addressed. Ethical measures are taken into consideration.	The epistemology, ontology and axiology are not outlined or articulated and the philosophical framework are not clearly discussed. The research design is incompletely developed, and/or the rationale for the chosen method is not clear. The research approach, the research strategy and research methods are incompletely explained. Sampling is done and motivated by literature review, but not sufficiently. The procedures for data collection are incompletely explained. Procedures of data analyses are explained, but are not fully appropriate for the study. Not all the	The epistemology, ontology and axiology are not addressed and the philosophical framework is poorly or not discussed. Research design and its rationale are poorly (or not at all) developed. Some or none aspects of the research approach, the research strategy and research methods are not explained. Sampling is done unsatisfactory and not motivated by literature review. The procedures for data collection are incompletely or not explained. Procedures of data analyses are not appropriate for the study or not addressed. Quality measures are insufficiently or not taken into account for the study.	

E Data annountation and an initial		N 1 1 15 11 1	N. 1 1	
5. Data presentation and analysis	Clear and well developed	Needs clarification /	Needs substantial clarification or	
– Data analysis		or some development	substantial development	
– Data presentation	7-10	4-6	0-3	
- Data explanation	Data are analysed using appropriate	Data are analysed using inappropriate	Data are not presented; not analysed or	
– Data evidence	methods and presented well using	methods; and presented well using	presented appropriately. Data are not	
	appropriate formats, e.g. patterns are	inappropriate formats. Data are explained	explained. Insufficient or no evidence of	
	identified (codes, categories, themes)	inappropriately. Some necessary	data is included in appendices.	
	for qualitative data and data are	evidence of data is included in		
	presented in graphs and/or tables for	appendices.		
	quantitative data. Data are explained	appendices.		
	appropriately. All necessary evidence of			
	data is included in appendices.			
6. Discussion of findings/results	Clear and well developed	Needs clarification /	Needs substantial clarification or	
- Trends/findings	cieur una weil developea	or some development	-	
- Data support	44.45		substantial development	
Literature control	11-15	6-10	0-5	
- Interpretation	Trends or findings are clearly provided.	Trends or findings are provided, but are	Unclear or no findings are provided.	
	Findings are supported with data. The	not always clear. Some findings are	Findings are insufficiently or not	
	findings are discussed against literature.	supported with data. The findings are	supported by data. The findings are not	
	An appropriate interpretation of the	discussed against literature inadequately.	discussed against literature. The	
	findings are provided.	Findings are interpreted, but not	findings are wrongly or not interpreted	
		appropriately.		
7. Conclusion	Clear and well developed	Needs clarification /	Needs substantial clarification or	
 Summary of research study 		or some development	substantial development	
Reflection on research question and	7-8	4-6	0-3	
aims	A good/excellent summary of the	An adequate summary of the research	A poor or no summary of the research	
Implications, recommendations and !initiations.	research study is given. Research	study is given. Research questions and	study is given. Research questions and	
limitations - Contribution of study	questions and aims are addressed	aims are partially addressed by provision	aims are not addressed adequately by	
- Contribution of Study	adequately by provision of relevant and	of answer(s) to the research question(s).	provision of inappropriate or no	
	appropriate answer(s) to the research	Some implications and recommendations	answer(s) to the research question(s).	
	question(s). Clear implications and	for further research, policy and practice	Inadequate or no implications and	
	recommendations for further research,	are presented. Limitations are adequately	recommendations for further research,	
	policy and practice are presented.	addressed.	policy and practice are presented.	
	Limitations are well addressed.		Limitations are poorly or not addressed.	

Acceptable Academic writing skills, grammar and coherence Editing Presentation Relevance Academic writing skills, grammar and coherence Writing is appropriate—clear, concise, and focused, with the use of logical transitions, conventional grammar and punctuation. Paragraphs are well planned. Well-edited, neat report without any spelling or grammar mistakes. Well-presented (e.g. ring-bind). All sections, sub-sections and other information in correct order. The information in the research project is of adequate quality and the information in the research project is of exceptional quality and the findings are relevant to needs in South Africa. Academic writing skills, grammar and 2-3 Writing is appropriate—clear, concise, and focused, with the use of logical transitions, conventional grammar and punctuation. Paragraphs are well planned. Well-edited, neat report without any spelling or grammar mistakes. Well-presented (e.g. ring-bind). Sections, sub-sections and other information in the research project is of adequate quality and some findings are relevant to needs in South Africa. Grant total	Referencing References to the ideas and conclusions of other authors are provided in accordance with the ethical norms of the academic writing Plagiarism	Acceptable 7-10 Citations and referencing comply with the requirements. In-text referencing is correct. At least 25 references are used. The reference list is in alphabetical order and correct. No sign of plagiarism is evident.	Need editing 4-6 The referencing and citations are not always adequate to the requirements. Intext referencing is almost correct. Fifteen to 25 references are used. The reference list is in alphabetical order and almost correct. A small percentage of unintentional plagiarism is evident.	Unacceptable 0-3 The citations and referencing are inadequate to the requirements. In-text referencing is incorrect. Less than 15 references are used. The reference list is either not in alphabetical order or incorrect. Much plagiarism is evident.	
	and coherence - Editing - Presentation	and focused, with the use of logical transitions, conventional grammar and punctuation. Paragraphs are well planned. Well-edited, neat report without any spelling or grammar mistakes. Well-presented (e.g. ring-bind). All sections, sub-sections and other information in correct order. The information in the research project is of exceptional quality and the findings are	Grammar is acceptance, yet not coherent. Writing needs some editing to comply. Typos and some grammar mistakes. Adequately presented. Most sections, sub-sections and other information in correct order. The information in the research project is of adequate quality and some findings are relevant to needs	Writing is unacceptable. Not edited, too many grammar errors. Poorly presented (e.g. ring-bind). Sections, sub-sections and other information in incorrect order. The information in the research project is of poor quality and the findings are not relevant to needs in South Africa.	