

FACULTY : Education

<u>DEPARTMENT</u> : Science and Technology Education

CAMPUS : APK

MODULE : RESEARCH PROJECT: SCIENCE EDUCATION

(HRRSEOY)

SEMESTER : Second

EXAM : SSA January 2020

ASSESSOR(S) :Prof U Ramnarain,

Dr S Ramila Dr L Mavuru Dr T Dhurumraj Mr A Sondlo Ms Penn

MODERATOR : Dr A Singh-Pillay (UKZN)

DURATION: SUBMISSION MARKS: 100

NUMBER OF PAGES: 6 PAGES

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Read the following instructions carefully before compiling your research report.

- 2. Include your name, student number and degree name on the cover page of the report. See attached cover page.
- 3. The report must be typed using 12 font Times New Roman or Arial.
- 4. The report should be formatted to have 2 cm page margins and 1.5 line spacing
- 5. Attach a turnitin report for your final submission.

FINAL RESEARCH REPORT

Following the submission and assessment of online assignments throughout the year, you are now required to use feedback received for each of the assignments to prepare a final research report for examination. The final research report, which comprises twenty-five to thirty pages, should contain the following elements:

- 1) A cover page
- 2) A table of contents
- 3) Introduction
- 4) Problem statement
- 5) Rationale
- 6) Research aims and objectives
- 7) Research questions
- 8) Literature review
- 9) Research design and methodology
 - a. Research method
 - b. Sample
 - c. Data collection
 - d. Instruments
 - e. Data analysis
 - f. Ethics
- 10) Results
- 11) Discussion and conclusion
- 12) References
- 13) Appendices

FINAL RESEARCH REPORT ASSESSMENT RUBRIC

Name of student:	Student number:
------------------	-----------------

1. Abstract A concise abstract of the proposed research The background issue/problem of the study The purpose/aim of the study The name of the design or a short description A brief description of the data collection instruments The sample and/or population The major results/findings the value/contribution of the study	Clear and well developed	Needs clarification / or some development	Needs substantial clarification or substantial development	Student mark
	7-8 The abstract is 250 words or less and presents a concise picture of the proposed research. The major elements of an abstract are included.	3-6 The abstract provides a summary of the proposed research. Most of the major elements of an abstract are included.	0-2 The abstract is not a summary of 250 words or less and presents a concise picture of the proposed research. Most of the major elements of an abstract are not included.	
2. Introduction, problem statement, rationale and research question	Clear and well developed 11-15	Needs clarification / or some development	Needs substantial clarification or substantial development	
 The background issue/problem that motivates your study The rationale and background of the problem Purpose and focus of your study Research questions have been included Objectives are stated Subtopics (way forward) provided 	The problem statement clearly identifies the problem, is relevant, specific and focused, and ties up with the title. The research questions are relevant to the project and are well articulated. The major terms are clearly defined. The purpose/aim is aligned with the research question and title and is clear. The objectives are stated and clear. Subtopics (way forward) are in proper order.	6-10 The problem statement incompletely identifies the purpose of the project and research questions; the proposal's topic is not clearly related to the project. The research questions need additional attention. Major terms and/or assumptions need clarification. Objectives are not clearly stated. Subtopics (way forward) need ordering.	O-5 Problem statement is incomplete and fails to identify a relevant educational issue that is being addressed, research questions have not been included or are poorly articulated. Terms need substantial clarification. Objectives are not stated or poorly articulated. Subtopics (way forward) are not included.	

O Daview of managed life of				1
3. Review of research literature	Clear and well developed	Needs clarification /	Needs substantial clarification or	
- The review provides a good		or some development	substantial development	
cross section of studies that are	11-15	6-10	0-5	
relevant to the area of your investigation.	Research literature review provides	Research literature review provides	Research literature review	
Literature review summary	excellent overview of the	partial or incomplete overview of the	inadequately identifies the	
includes the statements that	educational issue that is being	educational issue that is being	educational issue that is being	
reiterate the major findings from	addressed and provides a	addressed. The relevance of the	addressed and does not provide	
the review of literature.	convincing support for the purpose	reviewed literature to the proposed	support for the purpose of the study.	
- Sources are recent and	of the proposed study. Most sources	study is not clear. Many sources are	None or outdated and insufficient	
sufficient. The study builds on	are not older than 5 years and at	not recent or sufficient. Connections	sources are utilised. Connections	
seminal work.	least 10 sources are utilised. Logical	are made with literature, but are not	with literature are not logical and	
	and consistent connections are	always consistent or logic. The study	ambiguous. The study does not	
	made with literature. The study	utilises theories of seminal works or a	build on theories of seminal works	
	builds on theories of seminal works	conceptual framework, but these are	and/or does not have a conceptual	
	and/or have a conceptual	inappropriate to the study. The	framework. Poor development of	
	framework. Good 'big picture'	development of	subtopics/ideas/arguments.	
	holistic view - clear and logical	subtopics/ideas/arguments are not		
	development of	always clear or logical.		
	subtopics/ideas/logic arguments.	-		
4. Research design and	Clear and well developed	Needs clarification /	Needs substantial clarification or	
methodology	·	or some development	substantial development	
 Philosophic framework 	11-15	6-10	0-5	
 Type and rationale for research 	The epistemology, ontology and	The epistemology, ontology and	The epistemology, ontology and	
design selection	axiology are outlined and the	axiology are not outlined or articulated	axiology are not addressed and the	
- Research approach, strategy and	philosophical framework is clearly	and the philosophical framework are	philosophical framework is poorly or	
methods - Sampling and participants'	discussed. The research design is	not clearly discussed. The research	not discussed. Research design and	
characteristics	well developed, and the rationale for	design is incompletely developed,	its rationale are poorly (or not at all)	
Data collection instruments used	the chosen method is clearly	and/or the rationale for the chosen	developed.	
Procedures by which data will be	identified. The research approach,	method is not clear. The research	Some or none aspects of the	
collected	the research strategy and research	approach, the research strategy and	research approach, the research	
 Procedures by which data will be 	methods are clearly explained.	research methods are incompletely	strategy and research methods are	
analysed and interpreted	Sampling is done satisfactorily and	explained. Sampling is done and	not explained. Sampling is done	
 Quality measures 	motivated by literature review	motivated by literature review, but not	unsatisfactory and not motivated by	
	(research context, population,	sufficiently. The procedures for data	literature review. The procedures for	
	sample, method). The procedures	collection are incompletely explained.	data collection are incompletely or	
	for data collection are clearly	Procedures of data analyses are	not explained. Procedures of data	
	explained (What?) (Who?) (When?)	explained, but are not fully	analyses are not appropriate for the	
	(Where?). Procedures of data	appropriate for the study. Not all the	study or not addressed. Quality	1

	analyses are clearly explained and are appropriate for the study. For quantitative research reliability, validity and generalization are addressed. For qualitative research trustworthiness (credibility, transferability, dependability, conformability) are addressed. Ethical measures are taken into consideration.	quality measures are taken into account for the study.	measures are insufficiently or not taken into account for the study.	
5. Data presentation and analysis	Clear and well developed	Needs clarification / or some development	Needs substantial clarification or substantial development	
- Data analysis	7-10	4-6	0-3	
 Data presentation Data explanation Data evidence 	Data are analysed using appropriate methods and presented well using appropriate formats, e.g. patterns are identified (codes, categories, themes) for qualitative data and data are presented in graphs and/or tables for quantitative data. Data are	Data are analysed using inappropriate methods; and presented well using inappropriate formats. Data are explained inappropriately. Some necessary evidence of data is included in appendices.	Data are not presented; not analysed or presented appropriately. Data are not explained. Insufficient or no evidence of data is included in appendices.	
	explained appropriately. All necessary evidence of data is included in appendices.			
6. Discussion of findings/results	Clear and well developed	Needs clarification / or some development	Needs substantial clarification or substantial development	
 Trends/findings 	11-15	6-10	0-5	
 Data support Literature control Interpretation 	Trends or findings are clearly provided. Findings are supported with data. The findings are discussed against literature. An appropriate interpretation of the findings are provided.	Trends or findings are provided, but are not always clear. Some findings are supported with data. The findings are discussed against literature inadequately. Findings are interpreted, but not appropriately.	Unclear or no findings are provided. Findings are insufficiently or not supported by data. The findings are not discussed against literature. The findings are wrongly or not interpreted	
7. Conclusion	Clear and well developed	Needs clarification /	Needs substantial clarification or	
- Summary of research study		or some development	substantial development	
Reflection on research question	7-8	4-6	0-3	
and aims - Implications, recommendations and limitations - Contribution of study	A good/excellent summary of the research study is given. Research questions and aims are addressed	An adequate summary of the research study is given. Research questions and aims are partially	A poor or no summary of the research study is given. Research questions and aims are not	
252	adequately by provision of relevant	addressed by provision of answer(s)	addressed adequately by provision	

	and appropriate answer(s) to the research question(s). Clear implications and recommendations for further research, policy and practice are presented. Limitations are well addressed.	to the research question(s). Some implications and recommendations for further research, policy and practice are presented. Limitations are adequately addressed.	of inappropriate or no answer(s) to the research question(s). Inadequate or no implications and recommendations for further research, policy and practice are presented. Limitations are poorly or not addressed.	
Referencing References to the ideas and conclusions of other authors are provided in accordance with the ethical norms of the academic writing Plagiarism	Acceptable 7-10 Citations and referencing comply with the requirements. In-text referencing is correct. At least 25 references are used. The reference list is in alphabetical order and correct. No sign of plagiarism is evident.	Need editing 4-6 The referencing and citations are not always adequate to the requirements. In-text referencing is almost correct. Fifteen to 25 references are used. The reference list is in alphabetical order and almost correct. A small percentage of unintentional plagiarism is evident.	Unacceptable 0-3 The citations and referencing are inadequate to the requirements. Intext referencing is incorrect. Less than 15 references are used. The reference list is either not in alphabetical order or incorrect. Much plagiarism is evident.	
9. Technical aspects - Academic writing skills, grammar and coherence - Editing - Presentation - Relevance	Acceptable 4 Writing is appropriate—clear, concise, and focused, with the use of logical transitions, conventional grammar and punctuation. Paragraphs are well planned. Welledited, neat report without any spelling or grammar mistakes. Wellpresented (e.g. ring-bind). All sections, sub-sections and other information in correct order. The information in the research project is of exceptional quality and the findings are relevant to needs in South Africa.	Peed editing 2-3 Grammar is acceptance, yet not coherent. Writing needs some editing to comply. Typos and some grammar mistakes. Adequately presented. Most sections, sub-sections and other information in correct order. The information in the research project is of adequate quality and some findings are relevant to needs in South Africa.	Unacceptable 0-1 Writing is unacceptable. Not edited, too many grammar errors. Poorly presented (e.g. ring-bind). Sections, sub-sections and other information in incorrect order. The information in the research project is of poor quality and the findings are not relevant to needs in South Africa.	
			Grand total	