

FACULTY : Education

DEPARTMENT: Science and Technology Education

CAMPUS : APK

MODULE : RESEARCH PROJECT: LEARNING TECHNOLOGIES

(HRRITOY)

SEMESTER : Second

EXAM : SSA January 2020

ASSESSOR(S) : Mr M Moodley

MODERATOR : Dr J Hardman (UCT)

DURATION: SUBMISSION MARKS: 100

NUMBER OF PAGES: 4 PAGES

INSTRUCTIONS:

- 1. Write a research report on one of the following areas/topics:
 - An investigation into the challenges teachers face in the management and use of ICT devices and its influence on their teaching and assessment practices
 - Investigate the attitude and perceptions of lecturers with regards the role and responsibilities of the Blackboard support staff at the University of Johannesburg
 - An investigation into how the existing ICT skills of First Year students influence their online learning experience

SSA: HRRITOY

The research project should include the following:

- Assessment rubric
- Cover page
- Table of contents
- Abstract
- List of abbreviations
- Acknowledgement
- Text
- List of references (An internationally recognised referencing method should be used)
- Appendices (clearly labelled).

The report's language and style should be clear and should be edited before submission. The text should be 30 – 50 pages (9 000 – 15 000 words) and should comply with the following criteria:

SECTION 1: TOPIC, RESEARCH QUESTION AND AIM

The issue that is investigated is clearly stated

The issue is narrowed down and focussed

The reason (rationale/motivation) for investigating the issue is argued clearly

The research question is stated explicitly

The research question links to the research issue

The main purpose (aim) of inquiry is clear

The stated aim links with the research issue and question

SECTION 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature review relates to the research issue/question in Mathematics

The literature review is written in such a way that the relevance of the literature to the research question is made clear

The literature is argued in a logical/coherent manner

Relevant sources are used

SECTION 3: THE RESEARCH DESIGN

The following aspects are addressed and explained:

- What make this a qualitative/quantitative study?
- What type of data collection method is used and why?
- How is the sample selected?

SECTION 4: RESEACH METHODOLOGY

The process of gathering data is described clearly

The data analysis process is described clearly with examples of raw data

The findings (categories/main themes) are (briefly) presented

Measures to ensure ethical conduct

SECTION 5: FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS
The findings of the inquiry are discussed with evidence from the data
The findings flow logically from the field study
Conclusions about the findings are drawn

The following rubric will be used to assess the research project:

FINAL RESEARCH REPORT ASSESSMENT RUBRIC

Name of student:	
Student number: _	

1. Abstract A concise abstract of the proposed research The background issue/problem of the study The purpose/aim of the study The name of the design or a short description A brief description of the data collection instruments The sample and/or population The major results/findings	7-8 The abstract is 250 words or less and presents a concise picture of the proposed research. The major elements of an abstract are included.	Needs clarification / or some development 3-6 The abstract provides a summary of the proposed research. Most of the major elements of an abstract are included.	Needs substantial clarification or substantial development 0-2 The abstract is not a summary of the proposed research. Most of the major elements of an abstract are not included.	Student mark
the value/contribution of the study Introduction, problem statement, rationale and research question	Clear and well developed	Needs clarification /	Needs substantial clarification or substantial	
 The background issue/problem that motivates your study The rationale and background of the problem Purpose and focus of your study Research questions have been included Objectives are stated Subtopics (way forward) provided 	The problem statement clearly identifies the problem, is relevant, specific and focused, and ties up with the title. The research questions are relevant to the project and are well articulated. The major terms are clearly defined. The purpose/aim is aligned with the research question and title and is clear. The objectives are stated and clear. Subtopics (way forward) are in proper order.	or some development 6-10 The problem statement incompletely identifies the purpose of the project and research questions; the proposal's topic is not clearly related to the project. The research questions need additional attention. Major terms and/or assumptions need clarification. Objectives are not clearly stated. Subtopics (way forward) need ordering.	development 0-5 Problem statement is incomplete and fails to identify a relevant educational issue that is being addressed, research questions have not been included or are poorly articulated. Terms need substantial clarification. Objectives are not stated or poorly articulated. Subtopics (way forward) are not included.	

	study. For quantitative research reliability, validity and generalization are addressed. For qualitative research trustworthiness (credibility, transferability, dependability, conformability) are addressed. Ethical measures are taken into consideration.	Not all the quality measures are taken into account for the study.	are insufficiently or not taken into account for the study.	
5. Data presentation and analysis Data analysis Data presentation Data explanation Data evidence	7-10 Data are analysed using appropriate methods and presented well using appropriate formats, e.g. patterns are identified (codes, categories, themes) for qualitative data and data are presented in graphs and/or tables for quantitative data. Data are explained appropriately. All necessary evidence of data is included in appendices.	Needs clarification / or some development 4-6 Data are analysed using inappropriate methods; and presented well using inappropriate formats. Data are explained inappropriately. Some necessary evidence of data is included in appendices.	Needs substantial clarification or substantial development 0-3 Data are not presented; not analysed or presented appropriately. Data are not explained. Insufficient or no evidence of data is included in appendices.	
6. Discussion of findings/results Trends/findings Data support Literature control Interpretation	Trends or findings are clearly provided. Findings are supported with data. The findings are discussed against literature. An appropriate interpretation of the findings are provided.	Needs clarification / or some development 6-10 Trends or findings are provided, but are not always clear. Some findings are supported with data. The findings are discussed against literature inadequately. Findings are interpreted, but not appropriately.	Needs substantial clarification or substantial development 0-5 Unclear or no findings are provided. Findings are insufficiently or not supported by data. The findings are not discussed against literature. The findings are wrongly or not interpreted	
7. Conclusion - Summary of research study - Reflection on research question and aims - Implications, recommendations and limitations - Contribution of study	7-8 A good/excellent summary of the research study is given. Research questions and aims are addressed adequately by provision of	Needs clarification / or some development 4-6 An adequate summary of the research study is given. Research questions and aims are partially addressed by provision of answer(s)	Needs substantial clarification or substantial development 0-3 A poor or no summary of the research study is given. Research questions and aims are not addressed adequately by provision of	

8. Referencing - References to the ideas and	relevant and appropriate answer(s) to the research question(s). Clear implications and recommendations for further research, policy and practice are presented. Limitations are well addressed. Acceptable 7-10	to the research question(s). Some implications and recommendations for further research, policy and practice are presented. Limitations are adequately addressed. Need editing 4-6	inappropriate or no answer(s) to the research question(s). Inadequate or no implications and recommendations for further research, policy and practice are presented. Limitations are poorly or not addressed. Unacceptable 0-3	
conclusions of other authors are provided in accordance with the ethical norms of the academic writing Plagiarism	Citations and referencing comply with the requirements. In-text referencing is correct. At least 25 references are used. The reference list is in alphabetical order and correct. No sign of plagiarism is evident.	The referencing and citations are not always adequate to the requirements. In-text referencing is almost correct. Fifteen to 25 references are used. The reference list is in alphabetical order and almost correct. A small percentage of unintentional plagiarism is evident.	The citations and referencing are inadequate to the requirements. In-text referencing is incorrect. Less than 15 references are used. The reference list is either not in alphabetical order or incorrect. Much plagiarism is evident.	
9. Technical aspects Academic writing skills, grammar and coherence Editing Presentation Relevance	Acceptable 4 Writing is appropriate—clear, concise, and focused, with the use of logical transitions, conventional grammar and punctuation. Paragraphs are well planned. Well-edited, neat report without any spelling or grammar mistakes. Well-presented (e.g. ring-bind). All sections, sub-sections and other information in correct order. The information in the research project is of exceptional quality and the findings are relevant to needs in South	2-3 Grammar is acceptance, yet not coherent. Writing needs some editing to comply. Typos and some grammar mistakes. Adequately presented. Most sections, sub-sections and other information in correct order. The information in the research project is of adequate quality and some findings are relevant to needs in South Africa.	Unacceptable 0-1 Writing is unacceptable. Not edited, too many grammar errors. Poorly presented (e.g. ring-bind). Sections, sub-sections and other information in incorrect order. The information in the research project is of poor quality and the findings are not relevant to needs in South Africa.	
	Africa.		Grand total	