

# **COLLEGE OF BUSINESS AND ECONOMICS**

#### PROGRAMME IN INDUSTRIAL PSYCHOLOGY

#### JANUARY SUPPLEMENTARY EXAMINATION 2017

**MODULE:** 

HONOURS IN INDUSTRIAL PSYCHOLOGY: CONTEMPORARY ISSUES

IN PERSONNEL PSYCHOLOGY

CODE:

IPS8X02

DATE:

**NOVEMBER 2017** 

TIME:

08:30

**TOTAL MARKS:** 

100

**EXAMINER(S):** 

DR. KAROLINA ŁABA

**EXTERNAL MODERATOR(S):** 

MR. MICHAEL ROUTLEDGE

**NUMBER OF PAGES: 2** 

### **INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES:**

- This is a closed book exam. No notes and/or books are permissible in this exam
- This paper consist of two pages with one question (QUESTION 1) and two sub questions (QUESTION 1.1 & QUESTION 1.2): Follow the instructions as specified in the respective question
- Proposal 1 and proposal 2 are provided in a separate exam booklet. ENSURE THAT YOU RECEIVE AN EXAM BOOKLET WITH TWO PROSALS THEREIN
- Read the questions carefully and answer only what is asked
- Number your answers clearly
- Write neat and legibly
- Structure your answers by using the rubric provided: Refer to the number within the rubric when providing critique for that specific section
- The general University of Johannesburg policies, procedures and rules pertaining to written assessments apply to this assessment
- When referring to text within the proposal, provide the page number, together with the line number (as indicated within each proposal):
   e.g. pg 3, line 20
- You are expected to write a critical reflection on the topic provided
- No marks are allocated for scoring the rubric

MODULE: HONOURS IN INDUSTRIAL PSYCHOLOGY: CONTEMPORARY

**ISSUES IN PERSONNEL PSYCHOLOGY** 

### **QUESTION 1:**

In a global workplace report, South Africa was amongst the countries that had one of the lowest levels of work engagement (Gallup, 2013; Gallup, 2016). As compared to the five major emerging economies group BRICS (Brazil, Russia, India, China, South Africa), South Africa had the highest percentage of actively disengaged employees (Gallup, 2013). One of the proven positive behavioural outcomes of an engaged employee, is that they are productive (Harter, Schmidt, & Hayes, 2002). Therefore, understanding predictors that promote work engagement, could contribute towards diminishing active disengagement, thereby improving productivity. A possible predictor that was identified is psychological availability.

TWO research proposals are provided that address the topic of psychological availability. Critically review EACH proposal by addressing the following:

1.1 Utilise the attached rubric, and provide a critical reflection for proposal 1, and proposal 2.

The rubric is to be used as a guideline when critically reviewing each proposal. **No marks** will be given for scoring the rubric

#### 1.1.1 Proposal 1

Section: 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8	(25)
Section: 5, 10, 11, 12	(15)

## 1.1.2 Proposal 2

Section: 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8	(25)
Section: 5, 10, 11, 12	(15)

1.2 Identify and justify which ONE of the proposals have addressed the topic of psychological availability the best. (20)

[100]

---000---

# RUBRIC

	Smill He	bedy	970/2	617	etae	Beilts lest passer colonities :
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR						the state of
1. Does not clearly state the thesis	į.	1 1	4 5	G	Clearly states the thesis	
2. Explains difficult terr concepts, fa and/or idea: poorly	cts	2 3	4 5	6	Explains difficult terms, concepts, facts or/and ideas clearly	(**************************************
3. Doesn't breadown the iss into parts for detailed analysis	ne .	2 3	4 5	6	Properly breaks down the issue into parts for detailed analysis	
4. Does not support arguments	i	2 3	4 5	6	Supports arguments well	
5. Does not use reliable literature	1	2 3	4 5	6	Uses only reliable literature	
6. Has not organised ide and/or information coherently	1 cas	2 3	4 5	6	Has organised ideas and/or information coherently	
7. Integrates other people ideas poorly		2 3	4 5	6	Integrates other people's ideas accurately	
8. Does not demonstrate clear stand o the issue	a	2 3	4 5	6	Demonstrates a clear stand on the issue	
10. Has not used the appropria academic writing style	ite	2 3	4 5	6	Has used the appropriate academic writing style	
11. Does not cite the literature accurately	1	2 3	4 5	6	Cites the literature accurately	177111111111111111111111111111111111111
12. Makes many grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors	*	2 3	4 5		Writing is free from grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors	

Sourced from: Dr. No. Shidreh Bit of Janel (Declarat Dissertation) The University of