



UNIVERSITY OF JOHANNESBURG
FACULTY OF EDUCATION
DECEMBER SUPPLEMENTARY
EXAMINATION 2015

PROGRAMME: B Ed
MODULE: PROFESSIONAL STUDIES 4A
CODE: PFS4000
MARKS: 100
TIME: 1 hour 30 minutes
EXAMINER: Mr A Mavugara
MODERATOR: Mr A Cassiem

(This paper consists of 2 pages)

INSTRUCTIONS

1. Choose any four concepts
2. Please remember to formulate your own explanation of these concepts. No regurgitation of information is allowed.
3. Please write no more than 1 ½ pages for each explanation.
4. Start each explanation on a new page.
5. Use the same numbering system as the one on the examination paper.

QUESTION

Give a detailed explanation of any **four** of the following concepts. Your explanation must include a brief example, preferably related to your teaching and learning experiences.

- 1.1 Advocacy
- 1.2 Service learning
- 1.3 Personal teaching philosophy
- 1.4 Social justice
- 1.5 Ethos of care

[4x25=100 marks]

UNIVERSITY OF JOHANNESBURG

FACULTY OF EDUCATION

FORM A – Moderators report on assessment task

Moderators are requested to complete the report in full and return to the relevant department as indicated below.

To be completed by the department:			
		Include the following: - Assessment task ✓ - Memorandum ✓ - Module outcomes - Any additional documents needed by the moderator	
Today's date:	06-10-2015	Date to return the form A:	06-10-2015
Module name:	Professional Studies 4	Module Code:	PF34000
Department:	Educ & Cult Studies	Contact person:	Esther Mavugaba
Address to use for return of form A:	Email OR Fax	amielm@uj.ac.za	

Personal detail of moderator:			
Title:	Dr. M.P. Surname:	CASSEM	Initials: A
Signature:	<i>[Signature]</i>		
Telephone:	011 559 274/5112	Email:	amielm@uj.ac.za
Fax:		Cellphone:	082 602 4028
Physical address:	SOWETO CAMPUS OFFICE CNA 213		

Report on assessment opportunity:			
Tick the relevant block, if unacceptable, motivate please.			
1. Is the task in line with the module outcomes?			
Acceptable	✓	Unacceptable (motivate)	
Motivation:			
2. Does the task assess the outcomes at an appropriate level?			
Acceptable	✓	Unacceptable (motivate)	
Motivation:	Paper well spread across the module outcomes		
3. Is the task clearly conceptualized?			
Acceptable	✓	Unacceptable (motivate)	

Motivation:		
4. Is the language appropriately used?		
Acceptable	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Unacceptable (motivate)
Motivation:	Minor changes made	
5. Is the mark allocation suitable to the nature and weighting of the specific tasks?		
Acceptable	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Unacceptable (motivate)
Motivation:	Marks well spread across the paper	
6. Is the time allocation appropriate?		
Acceptable	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <i>for example</i>	Unacceptable (motivate)
Motivation:		
7. Are appropriate technical requirements met? (formatting, marks)		
Acceptable	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Unacceptable (motivate)
Motivation:		
8. Do you wish to recommend changes to the formulation of the questions?		

Signature:

[Handwritten Signature]

Date: 6/10/2015